



THE LSC AND ITS ROLE

NSF/ LIGO Review

Rainer Weiss

Cambridge, Mass October 23, 2002

LIGO Scientific Collaboration Member Institutions

University of Adelaide ACIGA
Australian National University ACIGA
Balearic Islands University
California State Dominguez Hills
Caltech LIGO
Caltech Experimental Gravitation CEGG
Caltech Theory CART
University of Cardiff GEO
Carleton College
Cornell University
Fermi National Laboratory
University of Florida @ Gainesville
Glasgow University GEO
NASA-Goddard Spaceflight Center
University of Hannover GEO
Hobart – Williams University
India-IUCAA
IAP Nizhny Novgorod
IUCCA India
Iowa State University
Joint Institute of Laboratory Astrophysics
Salish Kootenai College

LIGO Livingston LIGOLA
LIGO Hanford LIGOWA
Loyola New Orleans
Louisiana State University
Louisiana Tech University
MIT LIGO
Max Planck (Garching) GEO
Max Planck (Potsdam) GEO
University of Michigan
Moscow State University
NAOJ - TAMA
Northwestern University
University of Oregon
Pennsylvania State University
Southeastern Louisiana University
Southern University
Stanford University
Syracuse University
University of Texas@Brownsville
Washington State University@ Pullman
University of Western Australia ACIGA
University of Wisconsin@Milwaukee

LSC Membership and Function

- Recommended by Barish and McDaniel Committee
- Founded in 1997, now: 39 institutions ,44 research groups with 442 members
- Membership and roles determined by MOU between Project and Institution
- MOU updated yearly and posted
- Agreement by LSC

LSC functions

- Determine the scientific needs of the project
- Set priorities for the research and development
- Present the scientific case for the program
- Carry out the scientific and technical research program
- Carry out the data analysis and validate the scientific results
- Establish the long term needs of the field



Additional LSC roles during operations

- Maximize scientific returns in the operations of LIGO Laboratory facilities
 - Determine the relative distribution of observing and development time
 - Set priorities for improvements to the LIGO facilities.
-
- LSC has become integrated into:
 - Detector commissioning
 - Detector operation and scientific guidance at the sites
 - Advanced detector research and development
 - Data analysis
 - Software validation

Reports and examples of activities in the breakout session presentations

Mechanisms

- LSC White Paper on Detector Research and Development
 - describes near term program and goals
 - areas of research for long range program
 - iterated as new results become available
 - second iteration
- LSC Data Analysis White Paper
 - algorithm development for astrophysical sources
 - techniques for detector characterization
 - validation and test of software
 - long range goals for software and hardware
 - second iteration
- Publications and presentations policy
 - assure integrity of scientific and technical results
 - provide recognition of individual and institutional contributions
- Proposal driven data analysis
 - formation of groups to make specific analysis proposals
 - proposals posted and open to the entire collaboration
 - proposals reviewed by LSC executive committee

ORGANIZATION

- **LCS working committees**

Technical development committees

Suspensions and isolations systems - control of stochastic forces

David Shoemaker MIT

Optics -reduction in sensing noise

David Reitze University of Florida

Lasers - reduction in sensing noise

Benno Willke University of Hannover GEO

Interferometer configurations - detector control and response

Ken Strain University of Glasgow GEO

Work has led to advanced LIGO planning, groups are integral to the research and development (Advanced LIGO)

ORGANIZATION

Software and data analysis committees

Astrophysical sources and signatures

Bruce Allen University of Wisconsin @ Milwaukee

Barry Barish LIGO lab liaison

Detector characterization and modelling (detector commissioning)

Keith Riles University of Michigan

Daniel Sigg LIGO lab liaison

Software coordination committee and change control board

Alan Wiseman Data analysis and software coordinator

University of Wisconsin @ Milwaukee

LIGO Lab/LSC Computing Resources

Albert Lazzarini Caltech

GOVERNANCE and OPERATIONS

- LSC meetings in March and August
LSC Council meeting (membership, governance.....)
- Executive committee meetings monthly
Spokesperson, data and software Coordinator, committee chairs, Director and Manager of LIGO project
- Working committees meet monthly or more frequently

Astrophysical source upper limit groups

- Combined groups of experimenters and theorists
- Develop data analysis proposals

Purpose:

- Test the LIGO Data Analysis System
- Set scientifically useful upper limits using engineering and early science data
- Publish first astrophysically interesting results from LIGO

Groups:

(Data Analysis)

Burst sources : Sam Finn, Penn State, Peter Saulson, Syracuse

Inspiral sources: Pat Brady, Univ of Wisconsin, Gabriela Gonzalez, LSU

Periodic sources: Maria A Papa , AEI , Michael Landre, LIGO Hanford

Stochastic background: Joe Romano, UT Brownsville, Peter Fritschel, MIT

Mock Data Challenges

- Test and validation of the LDAS pipeline
- Joint Laboratory and LSC function

Accomplished

8/2000: Data conditioning and pre-processing common to all searches

Sam Finn *chair* Caltech, UTB, ANU

1/2001: Binary inspiral template search using MPI

Pat Brady *chair* Caltech, UWM, UTB

Planned

3/2001: Use of relational databases to store/access/mine LIGO event data

9/2001: Use of archival system to store/access LIGO raw frame data

>5/2001: Test algorithms for all major types of searches